

SHUT THE FUP and ACTIVELY LISTEN!

Are You the *Alleged* Debtor?

The word *alleged* is used, in this context as ... *“being stated or said, but (being) without proof”*. In other words, the bank, financial institution and/or the debt collector or their legal representation will allege or infer *without proof*, that you are the Debtor in this case.

THIS IS THE POINT: If you admit or agree that you owe them anything, then you are defining (identifying) **YOURSELF** as being the *“debtor”*, or the one who owes a debt.

It's **NOT FOR YOU** to admit **ANYTHING**. It's up to the/your accuser to prove this, to prove you are the debtor, and for that matter to **PROVE** everything. The more information you give, the stronger their case is. The less information you give, the weaker their case is. **SIMPLE!**

A debtor (debtor) is one who owes or has a legal obligation to another. In most of the cases/issues concerned within this text, we are concerned with the/a legal obligation to pay money, and/or dealing with an attempted possession of land or property.

You are only a debtor when you either freely admit it, or when they, the bank, financial institute, the debt collector and/or their legal representative prove you are, beyond any reasonable doubt.

The vast majority of People will freely admit to being a or the debtor in question. Most People are convinced by the media and the hype, and their recurrent words and phrases *“it is my debt”* or *“I always pay my debt(s)”*, and *“I did get the money”*. The words and phrases are repeated and pumped out incessantly on television, radio and in the populist print media, and thus continually feed the message of guilty, before been proven guilty. If you tell a Man he is a horse often and persistently enough, eventually he will help you put a saddle on him.

VERY FEW PEOPLE sit down and critically analyse what it means to be *a debtor*, or to be alleged to be a debtor. Very few People sit down and ask themselves; *“what exactly is owed?”*, and *“why is it owed?”*, *“what proof is there that it is owed?”*, *“is it really owed by me?”*, *“can they prove any of this?”*, and if so, *“what proof have they got?”*, and *“is it possible to examine such alleged proof?”*.

Wouldn't it be a very interesting Island to live upon, if all People of the Island were to start asking questions, as to the validity of all or any alleged contracts/agreements or alleged debt within the context of critical analysis, and kept asking until they got answers?

There are groups and individuals out there espousing the virtues of making the bank or financial institution what is colloquially called an offer, or an *“official offer”*. The idea being that any amount given or tendered to the bank or financial institution is better than nothing.

SHUT THE FUP and ACTIVELY LISTEN!

The FALSE assumption being, that the bank or financial institution are bound to accept your offer, and take the paltry amount given, and this somehow will stop or halt the bank or financial institution in proceeding with legal action, and ultimately getting an Order for money or worse still an Order for possession of your home, land or property.

No doubt, the bank or financial institution will accept any amount you give them, but only as part payment of an alleged outstanding debt, and without having to state this, and/or without ever compromising their legal position. By giving the bank or financial institution any amount whatsoever, you are strengthening their position, in the medium and in the long term, and what's more, you are weakening yourself and your own.

Certainly, it may perceivably slow down the process of legal action, but giving the bank or financial institution any amount of money whatsoever recognises in law that the bank or financial institution has a valid claim.

It compounds (assists) their claim, and it compounds the alleged contract as being valid and lawful. After all, in terms of contract law, you are recognising the alleged contract, because you are willingly (partly) performing upon the alleged contract, and you are also in breach of that same alleged contract, because you are now in default, as you are not making full payments. By making partial payment, proof of performance, although not adequate under their terms and conditions is now something demonstrably provable, and if you have and/or continue to pay the bank or financial institution then you are giving the alleged contract more weight and validity, by which to hang yourself.

By paying and continuing to pay any amount whatsoever, you are entrapping yourself, and it weakens your case if the bank and/or the financial institution do instigate legal action. Anyone that says otherwise either does not know what they are talking about, and/or they do know, and are directly or indirectly working as an agent to preserve the States Status-Quo. By paying and continuing to pay, you are feeding the beast that is ultimately going to eat you up, take your home, property, land, business and/or asset(s).

Most People have this idea in their heads; once the Judge sees that some form of payment is being made, then he or she will see, we are being reasonable and are genuinely trying to *“pay off our debts”*, and will show us mercy, and let us stay in our homes. This is all propaganda, designed to keep you dumbed down. A Judge is not going to save you, a Solicitor is not going to save you, the *best* Barrister in the world is not going to save you, God is not going to save you.

You are for all intents and purposes, and as far as the Court is concerned, simply in breach of the alleged contract, and the best you can hope for is a stay on the Order, in order to allow you to find alternative accommodation i.e. somewhere else to live. This is Justice seen to be done, and not Justice being done. No, it is not fair, but until you find an alternative way of looking at and identifying the problem, and dealing with what is going on appropriately, it will continue.

Back to the question: Are You the Alleged Debtor?

i.e. Do you legally owe an obligation (usually money) to another? Isn't this question, one you could be ask the party that alleges you owe them something, by demanding they prove you owe them an obligation? Food for thought?